



PRODUCT SCORECARD

Modern Requirements4DevOps

Requirements Management

**Improving and Accelerating Enterprise
Software Evaluation and Selection**

30 East Beaver Creek Road, Suite 210
Richmond Hill, Ontario
Canada

18774732881

<https://www.modernrequirements.com/?origin=Partner&medium=InfoTech&content=Review>

<http://www.linkedin.com/company/modernrequirements>

97 Employees

17
Reviews

Modern Requirements4DevOps Product Scorecard Contents

Executive Summary	3
Vendor Capability Satisfaction	4
Product Feature Satisfaction	5
Emotional Footprint	7
Comments	11

How to Use the Scorecard

The Product Scorecard is a comprehensive report designed to help clients make better purchasing decisions.

Data in the report is collected from real end users' of the product and analyzed in an exhaustive fashion with extensive data analytics.

Use this report to understand whether this product is right for your organization.

This report is available free of charge for internal use only to assist with software evaluation and selection. Sharing, republishing, distributing, or otherwise copying any portion of this report without the express written consent of SoftwareReviews.com is strictly prohibited.

© 2022 SoftwareReviews.com. All rights reserved.

NUMBER OF REVIEWS
17



Modern Requirements

REQUIREMENTS MANAGEMENT

Turn your Azure DevOps project into a collaborative workspace and ditch old copy/paste based manual processes. Create, automate, manage, analyze, and report directly from your project.


97 Employees
<https://www.modernrequirements.com/?origin=Partner&medium=InfoTech&content=Review>

30 East Beaver Creek Road, Suite 210
 Richmond Hill, Ontario
 Canada


The composite satisfaction score (Composite Score) is an average of four different areas of evaluation: Net Emotional Footprint, Vendor Capabilities, Product Features, and Likelihood to Recommend. The Net Emotional Footprint Score measures user emotional response ratings of the vendor (e.g. trustworthy, respectful, fair).

8.8/10 COMPOSITE SCORE


Likelihood to Recommend



Plan to Renew



Satisfaction that Cost is Fair Relative to Value



Vendor Capability Satisfaction

When making the right purchasing decision, use peer satisfaction ratings to decipher Modern Requirements4DevOps strengths and weaknesses, and determine which capabilities matter most to you. A scale of satisfaction ranging from Disappoints, Almost Satisfies, Highly Satisfies, and Delights is applied to each core vendor capability providing an ability to understand satisfaction across several business and IT competencies.

How satisfied are you with the following Modern Requirements4DevOps capabilities?

Vendor Support

57%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

The ability to receive timely and sufficient support. The importance of vendor support will vary for each organization depending on internal capabilities, but there will always be issues that only the vendor can resolve.



Ranked 2nd
of 8 in Requirements Management

89%
SATISFACTION
79%
CATEGORY AVERAGE

Availability and Quality of Training

43%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

Quality training allows employees to take full advantage of the software. Effective and readily available training enables users to get the most out of the software you've chosen. Use this section to make sure your vendor's training programs and materials measure up.



Ranked 3rd
of 8 in Requirements Management

86%
SATISFACTION
77%
CATEGORY AVERAGE

Quality of Features

21%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

The ability to perform at or above industry standards. Feature quality is just as important as quantity. Use this data to determine if this product will do what you're purchasing it to do, easily, intuitively, reliably, and effectively.



Ranked 3rd
of 8 in Requirements Management

77%
SATISFACTION
75%
CATEGORY AVERAGE

Ease of Data Integration

43%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

The ability to seamlessly integrate data. Use this data to determine whether the product will cause headaches or make data integration easy.



Ranked 2nd
of 8 in Requirements Management

84%
SATISFACTION
74%
CATEGORY AVERAGE

Business Value Created

31%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

The ability to bring value to the organization. Software needs to create value for employees, customers, partners, and, ultimately, shareholders. This data expresses user satisfaction – or lack thereof – with the product's business value.



Ranked 3rd
of 8 in Requirements Management

81%
SATISFACTION
78%
CATEGORY AVERAGE

Product Strategy and Rate of Improvement

29%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

The ability to adapt to market change. Vendors who don't stay on top of emerging needs and trends won't enable you to meet your business goals. Use this data to separate innovators from imposters.



Ranked 4th
of 8 in Requirements Management

81%
SATISFACTION
75%
CATEGORY AVERAGE

Breadth of Features

43%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

The ability to perform a wide variety of tasks. Users prefer feature rich software that enables them to perform diverse series of tasks. This data expresses user satisfaction with the product's breadth of features.



Ranked 2nd
of 8 in Requirements Management

84%
SATISFACTION
80%
CATEGORY AVERAGE

Ease of IT Administration

30%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

Ease of use of the backend user interface. This data indicates whether IT personnel will be able to resolve issues and perform configurations efficiently and effectively.



Ranked 3rd
of 8 in Requirements Management

79%
SATISFACTION
78%
CATEGORY AVERAGE

Ease of Customization

25%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

The ability to scale the solution to a business' unique needs. Don't get bogged down in a difficult customization; use this data to make sure you can easily achieve the functionality you need for your particular situation.



Ranked 4th
of 8 in Requirements Management

79%
SATISFACTION
76%
CATEGORY AVERAGE

Product Feature Satisfaction

Pay attention to the features you need for your scenario by evaluating peer feature satisfaction ratings. Tolerate low scores on features that do not impact your business, instead focus on scores being high for features that matter. A scale of satisfaction ranging from Disappoints, Almost Satisfies, Highly Satisfies, and Delights is applied to each feature core to the Requirements Management market.

How satisfied are you with the following Modern Requirements4DevOps features and functionalities?

REQUIREMENTS MANAGEMENT

MANDATORY FEATURES

Traceability

71% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

ALM artifacts can be traced from ideation to requirements, design, develop, test, and implementation.



Ranked 1st
of 8 in Requirements Management

93% SATISFACTION
84% CATEGORY AVERAGE

ALM Integration

32% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

Integrate artifacts across ALM phases such as requirements, analysis, design, development, and testing, either internally or through an API.



Ranked 3rd
of 8 in Requirements Management

83% SATISFACTION
79% CATEGORY AVERAGE

Prototyping and Mockups

39% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

Ability to create prototypes or mockups within the tool that show how the tool is expected to look and be used.



Ranked 1st
of 4 in Requirements Management

78% SATISFACTION
69% CATEGORY AVERAGE

Version Management

50% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

Ability to create and view multiple versions of a content asset through iterative edits in accordance with pre-defined limitations.



Ranked 4th
of 8 in Requirements Management

85% SATISFACTION
83% CATEGORY AVERAGE

Collaboration

37% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

Includes ability to view, share and direct work between co-workers in real or near real-time.



Ranked 2nd
of 6 in Requirements Management

84% SATISFACTION
81% CATEGORY AVERAGE

Artifact Management

44% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

ALM artifacts can be readily created, reused, moved, and managed through baselines, reviews, approvals, releases, and audits for projects and products.



Ranked 1st
of 8 in Requirements Management

86% SATISFACTION
79% CATEGORY AVERAGE

Task Prioritization

39% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

Ability to assign a priority to tasks.



Ranked 5th
of 8 in Requirements Management

82% SATISFACTION
81% CATEGORY AVERAGE

Agile Work Management

27% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

Multiple backlogs, backlog grooming, sprint planning, prioritization, and estimation, and a multi-level agile artifact hierarchy (Epics, User Stories, etc.)



Ranked 3rd
of 7 in Requirements Management

82% SATISFACTION
80% CATEGORY AVERAGE

Change Management

44% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

Solution consolidates the documentation of policies, controls, and business assets that are influenced by regulatory change.



Ranked 3rd
of 8 in Requirements Management

84% SATISFACTION
81% CATEGORY AVERAGE

SECONDARY FEATURES

Analytics and Reporting

36% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

Includes historical & real-time dashboard visualizations, detailed & summary reporting and easy data extraction for data analysis.



Ranked 1st
of 6 in Requirements Management

82% SATISFACTION
74% CATEGORY AVERAGE

Reusability

43% OF CLIENTS ARE DELIGHTED

Ability to re-use requirements across projects/products.



Ranked 5th
of 7 in Requirements Management

80% SATISFACTION
80% CATEGORY AVERAGE

Product Feature Satisfaction

Pay attention to the features you need for your scenario by evaluating peer feature satisfaction ratings. Tolerate low scores on features that do not impact your business, instead focus on scores being high for features that matter. A scale of satisfaction ranging from Disappoints, Almost Satisfies, Highly Satisfies, and Delights is applied to each feature core to the Requirements Management market.

How satisfied are you with the following Modern Requirements4DevOps features and functionalities?

REQUIREMENTS MANAGEMENT


SECONDARY FEATURES

Visual Analysis and Design

25%
OF CLIENTS
ARE DELIGHTED

Provides the ability to build (not import) various business or technical models that capture an application's alignment to business capabilities etc.

DEGREE OF SATISFACTION




Ranked
5th
of 7 in
Requirements
Management

75%
SATISFACTION
77%
CATEGORY
AVERAGE

MODERN REQUIREMENTS4DEVOPS Word Cloud

As organizations become more and more dependent on software to automate and streamline operations, users are developing strong emotional connections to their applications and vendors. The SoftwareReviews Word Cloud aggregates the most commonly experienced pain points and prevailing opinions held by its users. Use this at-a-glance summary to evaluate the vendor-client relationship and product effectiveness. Additional data about each of the emotional sentiments can be found on the following pages.




INTEGRITY ENABLES PRODUCTIVITY SAVES TIME
 CONTINUALLY IMPROVING OVER DELIVERED
 RELIABLE CARING HELPS INNOVATE
 FRIENDLY NEGOTIATION RESPECTFUL
 TRANSPARENT UNIQUE FEATURES GENEROSITY
 EFFECTIVE CRITICAL
 LOVE CLIENT FRIENDLY POLICIES
 ALTRUISTIC TRUSTWORTHY FAIR INSPIRING
 CLIENT'S INTEREST FIRST EFFICIENT

MODERN REQUIREMENTS Emotional Footprint


Importance to Professional Success

How important is Modern Requirements to your current professional success?




Strength of Emotional Connection

Overall, describe the strength of your emotional connection to Modern Requirements



B2B purchasing decisions not only rely on data and facts, but also gut instinct and emotional inputs. A vendors' Emotional Footprint can influence whether a client chooses to do business with the organization. The information displayed below represents the emotional sentiment held by end users of the software based on their experience with the vendor. Responses are captured on an eight-point scale.

EMOTIONAL SPECTRUM SCALE



% - % = NET EMOTIONAL FOOTPRINT


POSITIVE NEGATIVE

NET EMOTIONAL FOOTPRINT +94
MODERN REQUIREMENTS

Strategy and Innovation

A score ranging from minus 4 to plus 4 is applied to each individual's emotional reaction to each question.

As a customer, please share your feelings across Modern Requirements's Strategy and Innovation





Relationships and Interaction

When interacting with Modern Requirements4DevOps your peers express the following positive and negative sentiments across several teams. Use this to assess this vendors' service orientation and ease of partnership.

Based on your interactions and relationships with Modern Requirements4DevOps, please summarize what you experienced

100%

POSITIVE SENTIMENTS

0%


NEGATIVE SENTIMENTS

NET RELATIONSHIP FOOTPRINT +100

Sales Team

EFFECTIVE / KNOWLEDGEABLE

100%




0%

INEFFECTIVE / UNHELPFUL

FRIENDLY / CARING

100%




0%

INDIFFERENT / UNPLEASANT

Technical and Product Specialists

EFFECTIVE / KNOWLEDGEABLE

100%




0%

INEFFECTIVE / UNHELPFUL

FRIENDLY / CARING

100%




0%

INDIFFERENT / UNPLEASANT

Client Service Team

EFFECTIVE / KNOWLEDGEABLE

100%




0%

INEFFECTIVE / UNHELPFUL

FRIENDLY / CARING

100%




0%

INDIFFERENT / UNPLEASANT

Leadership Team

EFFECTIVE / KNOWLEDGEABLE

100%




0%

INEFFECTIVE / UNHELPFUL

FRIENDLY / CARING

100%



0%

INDIFFERENT / UNPLEASANT



Gokul J.

Role: Operations
Industry: Engineering
Involvement: End User of Application

Recommends 9/10

A more modern tool for management tracking

What differentiates Modern Requirements4DevOps from other similar products?

All the document can be made within this software. You dont have to purchase licence for microsoft or anything. You can easily share the page link with the person within the organisation.

What is your favorite aspect of this product?

Tagging feature is very good. You can tag any person and assigned how many task. Further about user interface is very easy to understand, even a new user can understand the working. You are having a separate my space in the software.where you can save or make your page. These page wont be visible to other until and unless you are not moving into public space.

What do you dislike most about this product?

Technical support is somewhat slower. It take long time to respond things. Copying of table from a data can make you angry sometimes.

What recommendations would you give to someone considering this product?

If you dont want to buy too much licence of different softwares. You should definitely go for it.

Core Competitive Dimensions

VENDOR CAPABILITY SATISFACTION		VENDOR CAPABILITY IMPORTANCE
4	Availability and Quality of Training	3
4	Breadth of Features	3
4	Business Value Created	2
3	Ease of Customization	2
3	Ease of Data Integration	3
3	Ease of Implementation	3
4	Ease of IT Administration	2
4	Product Strategy and Rate of Improvement	2
3	Quality of Features	3
3	Usability and Intuitiveness	4
4	Vendor Support	2

PRODUCT FEATURE SATISFACTION		PRODUCT FEATURE IMPORTANCE
3	Agile Work Management	3
4	ALM Integration	3
4	Analytics and Reporting	3
4	Artifact Management	3
4	Change Management	3
3	Collaboration	3
4	Prototyping and Mockups	3
2	Reusability	3
4	Task Prioritization	4
-	Traceability	4
3	Version Management	3

COST, ORGANIZATION, AND ARCHITECTURAL FIT

Architectural Fit	3
Cost	3
Existing Relationship	3
Managing Risk	3
Political Reasons	3
Previously Installed	3
Sales Experience	3
Skill and Staff Fit	3
Social Responsibility	3
Vendor Market Share	3
Vendor Reputation	3



Wendy L.

Role: Information Technology
Industry: Utilities
Involvement: IT Development, Integration, and Administration

Recommends 10/10

Provides great value for requirements management!

What differentiates Modern Requirements4DevOps from other similar products?

I don't know of any other products that offer what Modern Requirements does. Frankly I'm surprised Microsoft hasn't bought them out - they have improved ADO that much!

What is your favorite aspect of this product?

Advanced Reporting - I think this is their best feature, and they don't capitalize on it enough. The ability to create reusable templates that can be run from any project with embedded queries is awesome and makes standardizing reporting easy.

What do you dislike most about this product?

Limitations in Advanced Reporting have stalled my progress, however Modern Requirement's development team is working with me towards enhancing their product. Their team really listens and values user input and suggestions.

What recommendations would you give to someone considering this product?

This is a great product, highly recommended. Their full suite of tools is pretty amazing, you won't regret it.


Core Competitive Dimensions

VENDOR CAPABILITY SATISFACTION		VENDOR CAPABILITY IMPORTANCE
3	Availability and Quality of Training	0
4	Breadth of Features	4
4	Business Value Created	9
4	Ease of Customization	4
4	Ease of Data Integration	2
4	Ease of Implementation	4
3	Ease of IT Administration	3
3	Product Strategy and Rate of Improvement	6
4	Quality of Features	4
4	Usability and Intuitiveness	4
4	Vendor Support	4

PRODUCT FEATURE SATISFACTION		PRODUCT FEATURE IMPORTANCE
4	Agile Work Management	0
4	ALM Integration	0
3	Analytics and Reporting	18
4	Artifact Management	0
4	Change Management	6
4	Collaboration	3
4	Prototyping and Mockups	3
4	Reusability	3
4	Task Prioritization	0
4	Traceability	3
4	Version Management	0

COST, ORGANIZATION, AND ARCHITECTURAL FIT

Architectural Fit	0
Cost	8
Existing Relationship	0
Managing Risk	0
Political Reasons	0
Previously Installed	0
Sales Experience	2
Skill and Staff Fit	10
Social Responsibility	0
Vendor Market Share	0
Vendor Reputation	0



Mustafa J.

Role: Information Technology
Industry: Technology
Involvement: Business Leader or Manager

Recommends 10/10

Requirements Management for Azure DevOps

What differentiates Modern Requirements4DevOps from other similar products?

It is inclined with Azure DevOps

What is your favorite aspect of this product?

Integration with Azure DevOps

What do you dislike most about this product?

None till now

What recommendations would you give to someone considering this product?

If you want Requirements Management in Azure DevOps go for Modern Requirements4DevOps

Core Competitive Dimensions

VENDOR CAPABILITY SATISFACTION		VENDOR CAPABILITY IMPORTANCE
3	Availability and Quality of Training	3
2	Breadth of Features	3
3	Business Value Created	3
3	Ease of Customization	3
2	Ease of Data Integration	3
2	Ease of Implementation	3
2	Ease of IT Administration	3
3	Product Strategy and Rate of Improvement	3
3	Quality of Features	3
2	Usability and Intuitiveness	3
3	Vendor Support	3

PRODUCT FEATURE SATISFACTION		PRODUCT FEATURE IMPORTANCE
3	Agile Work Management	4
3	ALM Integration	4
2	Analytics and Reporting	4
3	Artifact Management	4
2	Change Management	4
3	Collaboration	4
4	Prototyping and Mockups	3
2	Reusability	3
2	Task Prioritization	4
4	Traceability	4
2	Version Management	4

COST, ORGANIZATION, AND ARCHITECTURAL FIT

Architectural Fit	2
Cost	2
Existing Relationship	2
Managing Risk	2
Political Reasons	2
Previously Installed	2
Sales Experience	2
Skill and Staff Fit	2
Social Responsibility	2
Vendor Market Share	2
Vendor Reputation	2



Romke K.

Role: Information Technology
 Industry: Engineering
 Involvement: IT Development, Integration, and Administration

Neutral 8/10

Very user friendly value adding features!

What differentiates Modern Requirements4DevOps from other similar products?

It is one addition with a whole set of features v.s. loads of separate solutions which often just offer one solution. You have the same usability cross all the features now rather than each feature is a product on its own.

What is your favorite aspect of this product?

The ability to do your daily job in Azure DevOps and export to predefined templates to comply to your QMS.

What do you dislike most about this product?

The usability on the design tools (workflows etc.) is not yet as good as e.g. MS Visio and can be improved.

What recommendations would you give to someone considering this product?

Talk to the sales department they are happy to provide demo's which give you really good insight in the capabilities of the tool and it features.

Core Competitive Dimensions

VENDOR CAPABILITY SATISFACTION VENDOR CAPABILITY IMPORTANCE

-	Availability and Quality of Training	4
4	Breadth of Features	4
3	Business Value Created	4
3	Ease of Customization	4
3	Ease of Data Integration	4
3	Ease of Implementation	4
3	Ease of IT Administration	4
4	Product Strategy and Rate of Improvement	4
3	Quality of Features	4
2	Usability and Intuitiveness	4
4	Vendor Support	4

PRODUCT FEATURE SATISFACTION PRODUCT FEATURE IMPORTANCE

3	Agile Work Management	4
-	ALM Integration	4
-	Analytics and Reporting	4
-	Artifact Management	4
-	Change Management	4
3	Collaboration	4
2	Prototyping and Mockups	4
3	Reusability	3
-	Task Prioritization	4
4	Traceability	4
-	Version Management	4

COST, ORGANIZATION, AND ARCHITECTURAL FIT

Architectural Fit	1
Cost	1
Existing Relationship	1
Managing Risk	1
Political Reasons	0
Previously Installed	1
Sales Experience	0
Skill and Staff Fit	1
Social Responsibility	1
Vendor Market Share	1
Vendor Reputation	1